868297.1

1	DANIEL L. WARSHAW (Bar No. 185365)		
2	PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP 15165 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 400		
3	Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Telephone: (818) 788-8300		
4	Facsimile: (818) 788-8104		
5	dwarshaw@pswlaw.com		
	BRUCE L. SIMON (Bar No. 96241)		
6	PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450		
7	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-9000		
8	Facsimile: (415) 433-9008		
9	bsimon@pswlaw.com		
10	STEVE W. BERMAN (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP		
11	1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101		
12	Telephone: (206) 623-7292		
	Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 steve@hbsslaw.com		
13			
14	Counsel for Select Plaintiffs and Co-Lead Counsel for the Proposed Classes		
15	Counselfor the Proposed Classes		
16	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
18	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
19			
20	IN RE	No. 12-md-2330-EMC	
21	CARRIER IQ, INC.,		
22	CONSUMER PRIVACY LITIGATION	NOTICE OF FILING AMENDED STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND	
23		RELEASE	
24			
2 - 25			
26			
27			
28			

TO THE COURT AND ALL PARTIES AND TO THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the parties have executed the Amended Stipulation of Settlement and Release. A true and correct copy is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

DATED: February 29, 2016 PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP

By: /s/ Daniel L. Warshaw

DANIEL L. WARSHAW

Daniel L. Warshaw (Bar No. 185365)

PEARSON, SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP 15165 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 400

Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Telephone: (818) 788-8300 Facsimile: (818) 788-8104 dwarshaw@pswlaw.com

Plaintiffs' Interim Co-Lead Counsel

868297.1

EXHIBIT 1

1 2 3 4	Steve W. Berman (pro hac vice) HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101 Telephone: (206) 623-7292 Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 steve@hbsslaw.com	RODGER R. COLE (CSB No. 178865) rcole@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP Silicon Valley Center 801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041 Telephone: 650.988.8500 Facsimile: 650.938.5200	
5	Bruce L. Simon (SBN 96241) PEARSON SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP 44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-9000		
6 7		TYLER G. NEWBY (CSB No. 205790) tnewby@fenwick.com ANNASARA G. PURCELL (CSB No. 295512)	
8	Facsimile: (415) 433-9008 bsimon@pswlaw.com	apurcell@fenwick.com FENWICK & WEST LLP	
9	Plaintiffs' Interim Co-Lead Counsel	555 California Street, 12 th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: 415.875.2300	
10	[Additional signatures below]	Facsimile: 415.281.1350	
11		Attorneys for Defendant Carrier iQ, Inc.	
12		[Additional signatures below]	
13			
14	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION	
17			
18	In re Carrier IQ, Inc. Consumer Privacy	Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC	
19	Litigation	AMENDED STIPULATION OF	
20	[This Document Relates to All Cases]	SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE	
21			
22 23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND		
	DELEASE	Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC	

1	
2	P
3	S
4	P
5	0
6	Α
7	Γ
8	d
9	V
0	('
1	
2	

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1. This Amended Stipulation of Settlement and Release is entered into by Plaintiffs Patrick Kenny, Daniel Pipkin, Jennifer Patrick, Dao Phong, Ryan McKeen, Leron Levy, Luke Szulczewski, Michael Allan, Gary Cribbs, Shawn Grisham, Bobby Cline, Mark Laning, Clarissa Portales, Douglas White, Eric Thomas, Brian Sandstrom, and Colleen Fischer, individually and on behalf of the Class as defined below, and Defendants Carrier iQ, Inc. ("Carrier iQ"); HTC America, Inc. and HTC Corporation ("HTC defendants"); Huawei Device USA, Inc. ("Huawei Device USA"); LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. and LG Electronics, Inc. ("LG defendants"); Motorola Mobility LLC ("Motorola Mobility"); Pantech Wireless, Inc. ("Pantech Wireless"); and Samsung Electronics America, Inc.¹ and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. ("Samsung defendants"), subject to the approval of the Court.

DEFINITIONS

- 2. As used in this Amended Settlement Agreement, the following terms shall have the following meanings:
 - a. "Action" means the above-captioned lawsuit.
- b. "Agreement" or "Settlement Agreement" or "Settlement" means this Amended Stipulation of Settlement and Release.
- c. "Asset Sale" means Carrier iQ's sale of the Carrier iQ Software (defined below) and assignment of the intellectual property rights to the Carrier iQ Software to AT&T Mobility IP, LLC in 2015.
- d. "Authorized User" means a person authorized by name on the Wireless Provider account for a Covered Mobile Device during the Class Period. "Authorized User" also means a person who, during the Class Period, purchased or owned a Covered Mobile Device

24

25

26

²³

¹ Plaintiffs' Second Consolidated Amended Complaint erroneously named "Samsung Telecommunications America, Inc." as a defendant, when at the time the proper name for the entity was Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC. Effective January 1, 2015, Defendant Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC merged with and into Samsung Electronics America, Inc., with Samsung Electronics America, Inc. as the surviving entity. Consequently, Samsung Electronics America, Inc. stands in the place of Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC in this Settlement Agreement.

²⁷

RELEASE

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND

- n. "Class Notice Date" means the date that the Class Notice is first disseminated, as certified by the Settlement Administrator.
- o. "Class Period" means the period of time between December 1, 2007 and the date of entry of the Court's order granting preliminary approval of the Settlement.
- p. "Court" means the United States District Court for the Northern District of California.
- q. "Covered Mobile Device" means a telephone or tablet manufactured or marketed by any Manufacturer Defendant that was equipped with Carrier iQ software at the time of sale to end users of the Covered Mobile Device.
- r. "Defendants" means and includes Carrier iQ, Inc.; HTC America, Inc.; HTC Corporation; Huawei Device USA, Inc.; LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.; LG Electronics, Inc.; Motorola Mobility LLC; Pantech Wireless, Inc.; Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
- s. "Effective Date" means either: (a) the date of the Order of Final Approval of this Agreement by the Court, if no objections are timely filed; (b) the expiration date of the time for filing notice of any appeal from the Order of Final Approval by the Court if any timely objections are filed but no appeal is filed; or (c) if an appeal is filed, the latest of (i) the date of final affirmance of that Order of Final Approval (ii) the expiration of the time for a petition for writ of certiorari to review the Order of Final Approval if affirmed and, if the certiorari is granted, the date of final affirmance of the Order of Final Approval following review pursuant to that grant; or (iii) the date of final dismissal of any appeal from the Order of Final Approval or the final dismissal of any proceeding on certiorari to review the Order of Final Approval that has the effect of confirming the Order of Final Approval.
- t. "Eligible Claimant" means a Class Member who submits a Claim Form, and substantially all information and materials as described therein, within the time limits set forth in this Agreement.
 - u. "Final Approval Hearing" means the hearing to be requested by the parties

and conducted by the Court, following appropriate notice to the Class and an opportunity for Class Members to exclude themselves from the Class, at which time Plaintiffs will request the Court to finally approve the fairness, reasonableness, and adequacy of the terms and conditions of this Agreement and to enter an Order of Final Approval and Final Judgment. The Final Approval Hearing shall be no earlier than sixty (60) days after the CAFA Notice date.

- v. "Final Approval Motion" or "Motion for Final Approval" means Plaintiffs' motion seeking final approval of this Agreement.
- w. "Final Judgment" means the judgment dismissing with prejudice all claims asserted against Defendants in the Action, which shall be entered following the Final Approval Hearing.
- x. "Gross Settlement Fund" means the total amount of funds that Defendants, and/or, as applicable, their insurer(s), shall pay to resolve the Released Claims by the Named Plaintiffs and the Class Members. The Gross Settlement Fund shall be the sum of Nine Million U.S. Dollars (\$9,000,000.00), plus the interest that is earned after the money is transferred by the Defendants to the Settlement Administrator. Defendants' responsibility to pay any amounts in settlement, including but not limited to payments to the Named Plaintiffs or former Named Plaintiff Matthew Hiles; to Class Members; for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses; for any fees or costs of the Settlement Administrator; or for any taxes shall not exceed their contributions to the Gross Settlement Fund.
- y. "Manufacturer Defendants" means HTC America, Inc.; HTC Corporation; Huawei Device USA, Inc.; LG Electronics Mobile Comm U.S.A., Inc.; LG Electronics, Inc.; Motorola Mobility LLC; Pantech Wireless, Inc.; Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
- z. "Net Settlement Fund" means the settlement funds that are available for distribution to the Eligible Class Members, or, as applicable, to the *cy pres* recipients as designated herein, and specifically constitutes the Gross Settlement Fund less: (a) the service awards addressed in paragraph 36 below for the Named Plaintiffs and former Named Plaintiff

1	Matthew Hiles for their efforts in bringing and prosecuting this matter; (b) the payment o		
2	attorneys' fees, not to exceed twenty-five percent (25%) of the Gross Settlement Fund, togethe		
3	with actual costs and expenses of suit to Class Counsel and Plaintiffs' Executive Committee		
4	Members for distribution to their firms and Plaintiffs' Executive Committee members, at the solo		
5	discretion of Class Counsel, as set forth in paragraph 37 below; (c) the Settlement Administrator's		
6	fees and costs of notice, and the administration of this Settlement, as addressed in paragraph 38		
7	below; and (d) taxes, if any, as addressed in paragraph 39 below.		
8	aa. "Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement" means the Court-approved		
9	written notice to Class Members.		
10	bb. "Notice Program" means the notice program set forth and described in		
11	Exhibit B to this Settlement Agreement, which program shall be effected following issuance of		
12	the Preliminary Approval Order by the Court.		
13	cc. "Objection Deadline" means the date sixty (60) days after the Class Notice		
14	Date.		
15	dd. "Opt-Out Deadline" means the date sixty (60) days after the Class Notice		
16	Date.		
17	ee. "Order of Final Approval" means the order issued by the Court in response		
18	to the Final Approval Motion following the Final Approval Hearing, granting final approval o		
19	this Agreement.		
20	ff. "Parties" means the Named Plaintiffs and the Defendants.		
21	gg. "Plaintiffs" or "Named Plaintiffs" means and includes Patrick Kenny,		
22	Daniel Pipkin, Jennifer Patrick, Dao Phong, Ryan McKeen, Leron Levy, Luke Szulczewski		
23	Michael Allan, Gary Cribbs, Shawn Grisham, Bobby Cline, Mark Laning, Clarissa Portales		
24	Douglas White, Eric Thomas, Brian Sandstrom, and Colleen Fischer.		
25	hh. "Preliminary Approval Date" means the date of the Preliminary Approval		
26	Order.		
27	ii. "Preliminary Approval Motion" or "Motion for Preliminary Approval"		

Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND

means the Named Plaintiffs' motion seeking preliminary approval of this Agreement, which shall include a copy of this Settlement Agreement.

- jj. "Preliminary Approval Order" means the Court's Order preliminarily approving this Agreement, setting a date for the Final Approval Hearing, and providing for notice of the Settlement Agreement to be sent to the Class Members.
- kk. "Released Claims" refers to all claims released by Releasing Parties as described in paragraph 53 below.
- II. "Released Parties" means the Settlement Administrator, the Defendants and each and all of their past, present, and future parents, subsidiaries, affiliated companies and corporations, and each and all of their past, present, and future directors, officers, managers, employees, general partners, limited partners, principals, agents, insurers, reinsurers, shareholders, attorneys, advisors, representatives, predecessors, successors, divisions, joint ventures, assigns, or related entities, and each and all of their executors, successors, assigns, and legal representatives.
- mm. "Releasing Parties" means Named Plaintiffs and all Class Members who do not validly and timely request to be excluded from the proposed Settlement, and each of their respective successors, assigns, legatees, heirs, and personal representatives.
- nn. "Settlement Administrator" means Gilardi & Co, LLC, which, if approved by the Court, shall serve as the Settlement Administrator for the settlement of the Action and shall also be responsible for providing notice to the Settlement Class of this Settlement, and of the preliminary and final approval thereof, as set forth herein.
- oo. "Settlement Class" means all persons in the United States who, during the Class Period, purchased, owned, or were an Authorized User of, any Covered Mobile Device.
- pp. "Settlement Payment" means a one-time *pro rata* cash payment from the Net Settlement Fund proceeds that Eligible Claimants are entitled to receive if they do not opt out of the Settlement, and if such proceeds are available for distribution per the terms of paragraphs 26-31 below.

qq. "Wireless Provider" means AT&T Mobility, Cricket, Sprint, or T-Mobile, as operator of, or in the case of Cricket, an entity providing services over, a wireless network in the United States.

RECITALS

- 3. This is an MDL matter ("Consolidated Action"), the constituent cases of which were transferred to the above-referenced Court for consolidated or coordinated pre-trial proceedings following the filing of some 72 lawsuits against various combinations of defendant Carrier iQ, network carriers and the Manufacturer Defendants, regarding the presence and operation of Carrier iQ Software on consumer devices. The Named Plaintiffs in this proceeding were plaintiffs in some of those 70-plus actions. The TCAC, which Plaintiffs will file on January 22, 2016, like the Second Consolidated Amended Complaint before it, asserts claims against the Defendants for violation of the Federal Wiretap Act, various state privacy and wiretap acts, various state consumer protection acts, the Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act, and the implied warranty of merchantability under various state laws.
- 4. On January 21, 2015, the Court issued its decision granting in part and denying in part the motion to dismiss filed by the Defendants. (Dkt. 339.)
- 5. Plaintiffs and Class Counsel, and all Defendants and their counsel, have participated in an extensive alternative dispute resolution ("ADR") process that was overseen by the Hon. James Larson (U.S.M.J. Ret.) (the "ADR Process"). As part of this process, the Court allowed Plaintiffs to propound pre-ADR interrogatories and requests for production to all Defendants that Plaintiffs had served or that had waived service, and the referenced Defendants responded to these discovery requests by providing written answers, responses, and documents. Carrier iQ also provided additional voluntary discovery during the ADR Process concerning the operation of its software on devices manufactured to operate on each of the U.S. wireless networks with which Carrier iQ had commercial relationships: AT&T Mobility, Sprint, Cricket, and T-Mobile. Five in-person mediation sessions took place before Judge Larson on November 12, 2014; December 16, 2014; March 17, 2015; April 27, 2015; and September 28, 2015. All

28 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

Parties attended all five of these sessions (except that Pantech Wireless attended the November 12, 2014 session by phone).

- 6. Prior to the ADR Process, the Action was extensively litigated, including by way of: a motion to refer all of Plaintiffs' claims to arbitration pursuant to arbitration provisions in the Named Plaintiffs' (except for Jennifer Patrick's) contracts with wireless service providers; a motion to stay proceedings following the denial of the foregoing motion; and a motion to dismiss all of Plaintiffs' claims. Also, all Defendants (except Motorola), appealed to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit from the decision denying their motion to compel arbitration. That appeal remains pending, though the Defendants have agreed to dismiss that appeal as part of this Settlement.
- 7. In addition to answering and responding to Plaintiffs' pre-ADR interrogatories and requests for production (and producing documents in response to the latter), all Defendants that moved to compel arbitration answered and responded to written discovery the Court allowed Plaintiffs to conduct in the course of responding to Defendants' motion to compel arbitration. Defendant Carrier iQ additionally produced documents in response to that discovery. Also, as part of that process, Plaintiffs propounded discovery requests to Google Inc. and to their Wireless Providers. These entities responded by producing documents (Google and AT&T Mobility) and written answers and responses (Google, AT&T Mobility, Cricket, and Sprint). During this process, Plaintiffs filed and prevailed in part upon motions to compel as to the Defendants and Google.
- 8. During the pendency of this case, Class Counsel have conferred with consulting experts; conducted extensive factual and legal research; and have reviewed and analyzed discovery answers and responses, and documents, produced by the Defendants and by non-parties Google, AT&T Mobility, Cricket, and Sprint. Additionally, Class Counsel requested, and defendant Carrier iQ has provided, information regarding Carrier iQ's financial condition and its ability to satisfy a judgment in this case, as well as its ability to contribute funds to settle this matter. Class Counsel reviewed and analyzed the financial data provided by Carrier iQ as part of

the process of reaching the Settlement memorialized hereby.

- 9. At all times, the Parties have negotiated vigorously with each other and at arm's length. The Parties have investigated the facts relating to the claims alleged in Plaintiffs' Third Consolidated Amended Complaint (and the versions of the complaint that preceded it) and have made a thorough study of the legal principles applicable to the claims asserted against Defendants, as well as settlements of other analogous class action lawsuits. Based upon Class Counsel's investigation, legal evaluation, and taking into account the contested legal and factual issues involved, including the Parties' assessment of the uncertainties of litigation and the relative benefits conferred upon the Class Members pursuant to this Agreement, Class Counsel have concluded that this Settlement with Defendants on the terms set forth in this Agreement is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Plaintiffs and the Class Members.
- 10. The Parties acknowledge that notice to the Class Members of the material terms of this Agreement, as well as Court approval of the Agreement, are required to effectuate the Agreement, and that the Agreement will not become operative until the Court grants final approval of it and the Agreement becomes effective.
- 11. The Defendants have asserted, or would assert, numerous defenses to the claims alleged in Plaintiffs' Third Consolidated Amended Complaint, and they expressly deny each of the claims and allegations asserted against them and any and all liability arising out of the conduct alleged in this Action. Defendants assert that these claims are subject to arbitration under Plaintiffs' service contracts with AT&T Mobility, Sprint, Cricket, and also would be subject to arbitration under T-Mobile's service contracts. Defendants assert that the Carrier iQ software installed on the Named Plaintiffs' and Class Members' Mobile Devices during the Class Period did not violate any law, and they deny that either the Named Plaintiffs or Class Members suffered any cognizable injury as a result of their conduct. By entering into this Agreement, Defendants do not admit any wrongdoing, and this Agreement, therefore, shall not constitute an admission of liability by any of the Defendants. Rather, Defendants are settling this matter solely to avoid the cost and burden of continued litigation.

28 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMEN

12. NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY AGREED, BY AND BETWEEN the undersigned Parties, that this Action shall be settled, subject to the approval of the Court, pursuant to the following terms and conditions:

CERTIFICATION OF SETTLEMENT CLASS

- 13. The Parties to this Agreement agree that this Action shall be certified and proceed as a class action solely for purposes of settlement under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e), in accordance with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) as to all Defendants and, as to Carrier iQ only, also under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2). The Settlement Class shall consist of all Settlement Class members, with the Named Plaintiffs as the Settlement Class representatives and Class Counsel as counsel for the Settlement Class.
- 14. This Agreement and certification of the settlement class is for settlement purposes only, and neither the fact of, nor any provision contained in, this Agreement or its Exhibits, nor any action taken hereunder, shall constitute, be construed as, or be admissible in evidence as, any admission of the validity of any claim or any fact alleged by Plaintiffs in this Action or in any other pending or subsequently filed action or proceeding of any wrongdoing, fault, violation of law, or liability of any kind on the part of any of the Defendants, or admission by any of the Defendants of any claim or allegation made in this Action or in any other action or proceeding. This Agreement shall, however, be admissible in any other action or proceeding to enforce the terms of the Agreement.
- 15. Any certification of a conditional, preliminary, or final Settlement Class pursuant to the terms of this Agreement shall not constitute, and shall not be construed as, an admission on the part of any of the Defendants that this Action, or any other proposed or certified class action, is appropriate for class treatment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 or any similar state or federal class action rule or statute outside the settlement context. This Agreement is without prejudice to the rights of the Defendants to: (1) oppose final certification in this Action should this Settlement not be approved or implemented for any reason; (2) oppose certification in any other proposed or certified class action; or (3) use the certification of this Settlement Class to oppose certification of

any other proposed class action arising out of the issues and claims that are asserted herein.

16. In the event this Stipulation is terminated pursuant to its own terms, or a Final Approval of the Settlement for any reason does not occur, the Settlement Class defined herein shall cease to exist and the Action shall proceed as if no Settlement Class or Agreement had ever existed, and Defendants shall not have waived any and all rights they might have to oppose class certification, and to defend themselves against the allegations of Plaintiffs' Third Consolidated Amended Complaint.

SUBMISSION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL

17. Following execution of this Agreement, the Plaintiffs, at a time to be mutually agreed-upon by the Parties, or as ordered by the Court, Class Counsel shall submit this Agreement to the Court by way of a Motion for Preliminary Approval.

RELIEF TO THE CLASS

INJUNCTIVE RELIEF TO THE CLASS BY CARRIER IQ

- 18. Carrier iQ represents and warrants that prior to the Asset Sale, Carrier iQ made the modifications to the Carrier iQ Software set forth in paragraphs 19-20 and 22 below, and that it performed as set forth in paragraph 21 below.
- 19. <u>iQ Agent notification messages</u>. Carrier iQ developed the technical capability to offer all wireless carrier customers in the United States the capability to limit iQ Agent notification messages messages that are sent to provide instructions to the Carrier iQ software embedded on handsets to a specific destination port on cellular devices. When this capability is enabled, the iQ Agent would receive notification messages only from the destination port designated by the wireless carrier. This port would be separate from the port used when a consumer sends text messages via SMS.
- 20. <u>Metrics relating to Uniform Resource Locators ("URLs")</u>. No Carrier iQ customers at the time of the Asset Sale were using the Carrier iQ software to collect metrics relating to URLs visited by users of handsets on which Carrier iQ software is installed. Prior to the Asset Sale, Carrier iQ had the capability to offer the following privacy safeguards to be used

7 8

10 11

9

12 13

> 14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

- in combination, to any Carrier iQ wireless carrier customer in the United States requesting URL
- Profiles written for the collection of URLs would truncate the collected URL to a. exclude query strings embedded in URLs and;
- Carrier iQ would enable collection of HTTP URLs for its US carrier customers only when one or both of the following two conditions are met:
- i. The device from which data is being collected is in a data session on that carrier's data network; or
- ii. The carrier demonstrates to Carrier iQ that consumers would receive a secondary form of notice that URL data may be collected when the device is not in a data session on the carrier's network.
- 21. Guidance to OEMs. Carrier iQ created guidance in its handset manufacturer Integration Training and Porting Guides concerning the integration of Carrier iQ software onto cellular devices on disabling logging functions that may be used during the debugging process before shipping devices for production.

ERROR REMEDIATION BY CARRIER iQ

22. Carrier iQ has fully remediated a software bug that created the potential for collection of non-human readable, encoded SMS content in level 3 radio data during certain error conditions and will create testing protocols to prevent similar bugs in future deployments.

MONETARY RELIEF FROM ALL DEFENDANTS

23. Establishment of Gross Settlement Fund. Within thirty (30) days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order, Defendants shall deposit \$1.1 million of the Gross Settlement Fund into a trust account to be held by the Settlement Administrator for the benefit of the Settlement Class as set forth herein. Within ninety (90) days after the Settlement Agreement is fully executed by all parties and Plaintiffs have provided payment instructions to Defendants, Defendants or their Insurers shall deposit the balance of the Gross Settlement Fund, i.e., \$7.9 million, into the trust account. The trust account shall be an interest bearing Qualified Settlement

3

4

5 6 7

8

9

10 11

12 13 14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

Fund pursuant to Internal Revenue Code Section 1.468B-1 with a federally chartered national bank. Contemporaneous with the signing of this Agreement, Plaintiffs will provide to Defendants in writing all instructions necessary to effect payment.

- 24. Within thirty (30) days after the Preliminary Approval Date, Defendants shall execute an election statement provided by the Settlement Administrator which shall be affixed to the initial tax return of the Qualified Settlement Fund in order to establish the start date of the Qualified Settlement Fund. The Gross Settlement Fund shall thereafter be administered and distributed as set forth in this Agreement.
- 25. All interest accrued in the Settlement Administrator's trust account with respect to the Gross Settlement Fund shall accrue to the benefit of the Class. The monetary relief to the Class; any service awards to the Named Plaintiffs and former Named Plaintiff Matthew Hiles as set forth herein; attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses as set forth herein; all fees and expenses of the Settlement Administrator; any taxes; and any cy pres distribution shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Fund. No portion of the Gross Settlement Fund shall revert to any of the Defendants at any time, unless, and only if, the Settlement is terminated per the terms of this Agreement or otherwise does not become effective in that the Effective Date does not occur.

DISTRIBUTION OF PROCEEDS OF NET SETTLEMENT FUND

26. Monetary Relief to Eligible Class Members. The monetary relief set forth in this Agreement shall be made available to eligible and qualifying Class Members after the Effective Date of this Agreement. All Class Members will be eligible to submit a Claim Form to the Settlement Administrator for processing, but any relief will be available to eligible and qualifying Class Members only as set forth herein. The Claim Form will be substantially in the form attached hereto as Exhibit A. Claims via an electronic version of the Claim Form may be made online. For other methods of claim submittal, Claim Forms will be available for download from the settlement website to be established by the Settlement Administrator as part of the Notice Program. Additionally, the Settlement Administrator shall establish a toll-free telephone number, email address, and U.S. mail address whereby Class Members may request Claim Forms. Upon STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

request by a Class Member via the toll-free telephone number, email, or U.S. mail, the Settlement Administrator shall send that Class Member a Claim Form. Whenever a Claim Form is sent to a Class Member via email or U.S. Mail, a Short Form Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Short Form Notice") shall accompany the Claim Form, and that Short Form Notice shall advise of the Internet address for the settlement website, where this Settlement Agreement and the Long Form Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Long Form Notice") shall be posted. Class Members who do not complete the electronic version of the claim form online may complete the non-electronic version of the Claim Form and send it back via email, U.S. mail, or a fax number to be established by the Settlement Administrator for this purpose. The email, U.S. mail, and fax numbers for returning the Claim Form shall be printed on the claim form and also shall be available on the settlement website.

- Administrator shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Fund on a periodic basis for the costs of notice and for settlement administration. Also, within ten (10) business days following the Effective Date, and subject to the Court's Order of Final Approval, the Settlement Administrator shall make payments from the Gross Settlement Fund for: (a) the service awards as set forth herein and approved by the Court for the Named Plaintiffs and former Named Plaintiff Matthew Hiles for their efforts in bringing and prosecuting this matter; (b) attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses as set forth herein and approved by the Court (and to be distributed by Class Counsel in their sole discretion); (c) the costs of administration of this Settlement by the Settlement Administrator, including notice-related costs, not already paid to the Settlement Administrator; and (d) any taxes. Once the payments designated above have been made from the Gross Settlement Fund, the balance remaining shall constitute the Net Settlement Fund from which Settlement Payments to Eligible Class Members shall be calculated.
- 28. <u>Payments to Class Members</u>. Distribution of the Net Settlement Fund to the Class Members shall be on a claims-made basis. As soon as reasonably practicable following establishment of the Net Settlement Fund, and in accordance with the terms of this Settlement

22

23

24

25

26

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

Agreement, the Settlement Administrator shall distribute the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund to Eligible Claimants on a pro rata basis; provided, however, that if the pro-rated amount to be distributed to each Eligible Claimant would be economically unfeasible to distribute due to the high volume of eligible claims and the cost to process and mail the corresponding volume of checks to Eligible Claimants, then instead, subject to approval by the Court after notice by Class Counsel, the entire Net Settlement Fund shall be distributed in three equal portions to the following three cy pres recipients: the Electronic Frontier Foundation, the Center for Democracy and Technology, and CyLab Usable Privacy and Security Laboratory at Carnegie Mellon University. The determination that it would be economically unfeasible to distribute settlement funds to Eligible Claimants shall be made by Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel in consultation with the Settlement Administrator, if the Settlement Administrator determines and advises them at the conclusion of the Claims Period that the pro-rated amount to be distributed to each Eligible Claimant would be less than approximately Four U.S. Dollars (\$4.00) due to the high volume of eligible claims and the cost to process and mail the corresponding volume of checks to Eligible Claimants. In the event of any such cy pres distribution, Eligible Claimants shall not receive any proceeds from the Net Settlement Fund.

- 29. <u>Distribution of Settlement Payments</u>. Any Settlement Payments to Eligible Class Members shall be distributed in the form of a postcard check sent via first class mail, with the following phrase appearing prominently thereon: "Settlement Payment Pursuant to Class Action Settlement Approved by the Court." For purposes of this mailing, the Settlement Administrator shall use the addresses specified on the Eligible Claimant's Claim Form.
- 30. Re-Mailing of Returned Settlement Payments. Any Settlement Payment postcard checks that are returned as non-deliverable with a forwarding address shall be re-mailed by the Settlement Administrator to such forwarding address within five (5) business days. To the extent that any such postcard checks are returned as non-deliverable without a forwarding address, the Settlement Administrator shall conduct reasonable searches to locate valid address information for the intended recipients of the Settlement Payments, and shall, within five (5) business days,

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

re-mail the postcard checks, as applicable, to any Eligible Class Members for whom new address information is identified.

- 31. <u>Time Period To Accept Payment</u>. Eligible Class Members shall have one hundred and twenty (120) days from the date of their Settlement Payments to cash their checks. Eligible Class Members may request new checks to be issued by the Settlement Administrator during this time period if they lose or misplace their original check; however, the time period for cashing the check will be the same as the original time period set forth above.
- 32. <u>Unclaimed Monies</u>. Any unclaimed monies in the Net Settlement Fund remaining after the foregoing efforts to distribute the funds therein to Class Members shall be paid on a *cy pres* basis, divided in three equal portions, among the Electronic Frontier Foundation, the Center for Democracy and Technology, and CyLab Usable Privacy and Security Laboratory at Carnegie Mellon University. No unclaimed monies will revert to any of the Defendants.
- 33. <u>Final Accounting.</u> No later than thirty (30) days after all Settlement Payments are issued to Eligible Class Members or the Net Settlement Fund is distributed to the *cy pres* recipients as set forth in paragraphs 26-32 above, the Settlement Administrator shall provide a final accounting to Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel. The Settlement Administrator shall also provide declarations to Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel to be filed with the Court, concerning the status of the administration process, at the request of Class Counsel or Defendants at any time.
- 34. <u>No Offset for Other Settlements</u>. There shall be no offset to any Settlement Payments received by any Eligible Class Members under this Agreement for any other Settlement Payment, or any payment whatsoever, that they receive from any of the other Defendants in this action.
- 35. <u>No Claims Arising From Distributions</u>. No person shall have any claim against any of the Defendants, the Named Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class, Class Counsel, or the Settlement Administrator based on distributions or payments made in accordance with this Settlement Agreement.

OTHER PAYMENTS

36. Service Award to Named Plaintiffs. Subject to the Court's approval, the Named Plaintiffs and former Named Plaintiff Matthew Hiles shall each receive a service award not to exceed \$5,000 for their time and efforts in bringing and prosecuting this matter. The payment of Service Awards is to be considered separately by the Court from its consideration of the fairness and adequacy of this Settlement Agreement, and any order with respect to this payment shall not affect or delay the approval of this Settlement Agreement. Within ten (10) business days after the Effective Date, the Settlement Administrator shall deduct from the Settlement Fund and pay to the Named Plaintiffs the amount approved by the Court.

37. Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Expenses. At least fourteen (14) days before the Opt-Out Deadline and Objection Deadline (which shall be the same date), Class Counsel shall file a Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Expenses to be paid from the Gross Settlement Fund in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(h). Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Expenses is to be considered separately by the Court from its consideration of the fairness and adequacy of this Settlement Agreement, and any order with respect to this separate motion shall not affect or delay the approval of this Settlement Agreement. Attorneys' fees shall not exceed twenty-five percent (25 %) of the Gross Settlement Fund, while costs and expenses shall be the actual costs and expenses incurred by Class Counsel and Plaintiffs' Executive Committee Members. Defendants shall not object to Class Counsels' request for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses, so long as it is consistent with this paragraph. These amounts will compensate counsel, at Class Counsel's sole discretion, for work already performed in this case and all of the work remaining to be performed in this case, including but not limited to documenting the Settlement, securing Court approval of the Settlement, making sure that the Settlement is fairly administered and implemented, and obtaining dismissal of the Action. Within three (3) business days after the Effective Date, the Settlement Administrator shall deduct from the Gross Settlement Fund and pay to Class Counsel, for distribution at Class Counsels' sole discretion, the amounts approved by the Court for attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses. The money paid from the Gross Settlement

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

13

14

11

17

20

21

24 25

26

27

28

Fund shall be the only fees for which Defendants are responsible for services provided on behalf of the class.

- 38. Costs of Notice Provision and Settlement Administration. All fees and expenses of the Settlement Administrator shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Fund. Ten (10) business days prior to the Final Fairness Hearing, the Settlement Administrator shall provide the Parties with declarations detailing its fees and expenses related to the notice program and the settlement administration process, which shall be filed with the Court. The Parties agree to cooperate in the settlement administration process and to make all reasonable efforts to control and minimize the expenses incurred in the administration of the Settlement.
- 39. Taxes. Any federal or state taxes assessed on the Gross Settlement Fund or Net Settlement Fund, but not on Class Member awards, shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Fund.

NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT

- 40. Notice of this settlement shall be provided to Class Members as set forth in the Notice Plan, which is set forth and described in Exhibit B to this Agreement. The Parties will request that the Court determine that the proposed procedures for notice set forth in Exhibit B constitute the best practicable notice to Class Members.
- 41. The Settlement Administrator shall be responsible for effecting the Notice Plan set forth in Exhibit B. As soon as reasonably practicable after the Court issues the Preliminary Approval Order, and following payment by Defendants of the initial \$1.1 million of the Gross Settlement Fund as referenced in paragraph 23, the Settlement Administrator shall publish per the terms of the Notice Plan set forth in Exhibit B the Court-approved Class Notice to all Class Members, which shall provide instructions and information to Class Members concerning the Settlement and their right to claim a share of the Net Settlement Fund on the terms, including the possibility of pro rata reduction and the caveat regarding economic feasibility, set forth herein at paragraphs 26-28, as well as their objection rights and opt-out rights. Information to be provided as part of Class Notice shall include a list of devices manufactured by the Manufacturer Defendants and sold in the United States during the Class Period which the Parties believe to

have borne Carrier iQ Software installed by means of the pre-load or embedded method, *i.e.*, the preload agent or embedded agent.

- 42. Effective on the Class Notice Date, the Settlement Administrator shall also arrange for a telephone call center facility with a toll number to be active until such time as all distributions of Settlement Payments, if any, are completed to Eligible Class Members, in order to respond to questions from Class Members.
- 43. Effective on the Class Notice Date, the Settlement Administrator shall also make active a website describing the terms of the Settlement and from which Class Members can download relevant forms such as the Class Notice in long form and short form; the Settlement Agreement; the Claim Form; the Court's Preliminary Approval Order; and Class Counsel's Motion for Final Approval and Motion for Attorneys' Fees and Expenses, when it becomes available. The website shall include the toll-free number applicable to this Settlement as set forth in paragraph 26 above, and shall remain active until such time as distributions of Settlement Payments, if any, are completed to Eligible Class Members.
- Administrator shall provide Class Counsel and Defendants' counsel with a declaration setting forth: (a) the details of execution and performance of the Notice Plan; (b) the total number of Class Members who were sent the Class Notice (with a breakdown as to the Short Form Notice and Long Form Notice); and (c) the total number of Class Members who sent timely requests for exclusion or objections to the Settlement, along with the complete copies of all requests for exclusion and objections received, including the postmark dates for each request for exclusion or objection. Class Counsel shall file such declaration with the Court.

OPT-OUTS AND OBJECTIONS

45. <u>Requests for Exclusion</u>: Both forms of the Class Notice shall provide that Class Members who wish to exclude themselves from the Settlement must submit a written statement requesting exclusion from the Settlement ("opt-out"), postmarked no later than the Opt-Out Deadline. Such written request for exclusion must contain the name, address, telephone number,

and email address of the Class Member requesting exclusion, and be personally signed by the Class Member who seeks to opt out. No opt-out request may be made on behalf of a group of Class Members. The opt-out request must be sent by mail to the Settlement Administrator and must be timely postmarked as set forth above. The postmark date of the mailing envelope shall be the exclusive means used to determine whether an opt-out has been timely submitted. Any Class Member who requests exclusion from (opts out of) the Settlement will not be entitled to any Settlement Award and will not be bound by the Settlement Agreement or have any right to object, appeal or comment thereon.

46. <u>Objections</u>: The Class Notice shall provide that any Class Members who wish to object to the Settlement Agreement must send to the Court a written statement of objection filed or postmarked no later than the Objection Deadline. The Notice of Objection must state the basis for the objection and include any supporting papers. Such objection must contain the name, address, telephone number, and email address of the Class Member making the objection, and be personally signed by the Class Member. Any objection and supporting papers must be timely filed with the Court, either by mailing them to the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, or by filing them in person at any location of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, except that any objection made by a Settlement Class Member represented by counsel must be filed through the Court's Case Management/Electronic Case Filing (CM/ECF) system under the cause number for the Action as set forth above. Class Members who fail to make objections in the manner specified above shall be deemed to have waived any objections and shall be foreclosed from making any objection (whether by appeal or otherwise) to the Settlement Agreement.

ORDER OF FINAL APPROVAL AND FINAL JUDGMENT

47. <u>Final Approval Motion</u>. At least fourteen (14) days before the Final Approval Hearing, Plaintiffs shall file a motion requesting that the Court grant final approval of the Settlement Agreement, with Class Counsel filing a memorandum of points and authorities in support of the motion, and addressing any timely submitted objections to the Settlement.

- 48. <u>Matters To Be Considered at Final Approval Hearing</u>. At the Final Approval Hearing, the Court will consider and determine whether the provisions of this Agreement should be approved; whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate; whether any objections to the Settlement should be overruled; whether the service awards referenced in paragraph 36 should be approved; whether Class Counsel's motion for attorneys' fees, costs and expenses should be approved; and whether an Order of Final Approval and Final Judgment should be entered.
- 49. This Agreement is subject to and conditioned upon the issuance by the Court of an Order of Final Approval which grants final approval of this Agreement; approves the Settlement Class pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 and its relevant subparts; and:
- a. Finds that the Class Notice satisfies the requirements of due process and Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1);
- b. Finds that the Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate to the Class, that each Class Member (except those who submit a timely and valid request for exclusion from the Class) shall be bound by this Agreement;
- c. Dismisses on the merits and with prejudice all claims asserted in the Action against Defendants; and
- d. Retains jurisdiction of all matters relating to the interpretation, administration, implementation, effectuation, and enforcement of this Settlement.

TERMINATION OF AGREEMENT

50. The Named Plaintiffs, on behalf of the Class Members, by Class Counsel, and any of the Defendants, by that Defendant's counsel, shall each have the right to unilaterally terminate this Agreement by providing written notice of their election to do so to all other Parties hereto within ten (10) business days of: (a) the Court's refusal to grant Preliminary Approval of this Agreement; (b) the Court's refusal to grant final approval of this Agreement; or (c) the date upon which the Final Judgment is modified or reversed in any material respect by the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals or the U.S. Supreme Court. The above notwithstanding, the Parties agree that

27 cor 28 STI

should the Court modify the Agreement in any respect, or condition preliminary or final approval of the Agreement on modification in any respect, then the Parties will, within the above-indicated period, meet and confer in a good-faith attempt to reach agreement and preserve the Agreement.

- 51. Any Defendant may, with the agreement of at least two other Defendants, terminate this agreement if, ten (10) days after the Opt-Out Deadline, that Defendant and at least those other two Defendants determine that the number of Settlement Class members who have timely and validly submitted requests for exclusion exceeds a number agreed to by the Parties in the Confidential Supplemental Agreement (the "Opt-Out Threshold"). Requests for exclusion from persons or entities who would not otherwise meet the Settlement Class definition do not count toward the Opt-Out Threshold. The Parties shall seek to keep the Opt-Out Threshold confidential. In the event that the Court directs that the Confidential Supplemental Agreement be filed under seal prior to the deadline for submitting Requests for Exclusion, no party shall have any right to any relief by reason of such disclosure. In the event of a termination of this Settlement pursuant to the Confidential Supplemental Agreement, this Stipulation shall become null and void. If a Defendant elects to terminate this Agreement pursuant to this paragraph, it will give notice of its election in writing to Class Counsel and counsel of record for each Defendant.
- 52. If this Settlement Agreement is terminated pursuant to paragraph 50 or 51 it will be deemed null and void *ab initio*. In that event: (i) the Preliminary Approval Order and all of its provisions will be vacated; (ii) the Action will revert to the status that existed before the Settlement Agreement's execution date; and (iii) no term or draft of this Settlement Agreement, or any part or aspect of the Parties' settlement discussions, negotiations, or documentation (including any declarations and briefs filed in support of the motion for preliminary or final approval) will have any effect or be admissible into evidence, for any purpose, in this Action or any other proceeding.

RELEASES

53. Upon the Effective Date, and in consideration of the benefits and other consideration set forth above, the Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and

forever released, relinquished and discharged against each of the Released Parties and all persons acting through, under, or in concert with each such Released Party, all claims in the Action. Specifically, the Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have released any and all past, present or future claims, causes of actions, suits, petitions, demands in law or equity, or any allegations of liability or damages, debts, contracts, agreements, obligations, promises, attorneys' fees, costs, interest, or expenses that have been, may be, or could be asserted in the Action, any complaint filed in any of the Pre-Consolidated Actions, any subsequent amended complaint filed in this or any related case, or in any other action, court, arbitration, tribunal or administrative body, regardless of whether those claims are based on federal, state or local law, statute, ordinance, regulations, contract, common law, or any other source, that are based upon, arise out of, or are related to or connected with, directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, the facts, activities, or circumstances alleged in the Third Consolidated Amended Complaint, any claims asserted against Defendants relating to this Action, or any other purported occurrence relating to or arising from the presence or operation of Carrier iQ Software on any Covered Mobile Device (the "Released Claims") during the Class Period.

54. <u>Waiver of Known and Unknown Claims.</u> The general releases in this Agreement extend to claims the Releasing Parties do not know or suspect to exist in their favor, which, if known by them, would have materially affected their decisions to enter into this Agreement. The Parties, including the Releasing Parties, understand and acknowledge that they are familiar with California Civil Code section 1542, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Upon the Effective Date, the Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Agreement shall have, expressly waived and relinquished any rights they may have under California Civil Code section 1542 or any other statute or common law principle with a similar effect as to all releases set forth in this Agreement. In connection with such waiver and

relinquishment, the Releasing Parties acknowledge that they are aware that, after executing this Agreement, they or their legal counsel or agents may discover Released Claims or facts in addition to, or different from, those which they now know or believe to exist with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement or the Parties hereto, but that it is Plaintiffs' intention hereby to fully, finally, and forever settle and release all of the Released Claims, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, which now exist, may exist, or heretofore may have existed against the Released Parties.

- 55. Upon entry of the Order of Final Approval and Final Judgment, the Consolidated Action shall be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice as to all Defendants.
- 56. Covenant Not to Sue. Upon execution of this Agreement by all Parties, each Class member automatically and without further action or notice covenants not to commence, maintain or assert against Defendants, either directly or indirectly, derivatively, on their own behalf, or on behalf of the Class or any other alleged class or any other person or entity, any of the Released Claims. Pursuant to the terms of this Agreement, and upon order of the Court, each Class member is enjoined from bringing or otherwise pursuing any Released Claims against Defendants or Defendant Parties in any forum.
- 57. The Parties are hereby deemed to agree and acknowledge that the provisions of Paragraphs 53-56 together constitute an essential term of this Agreement and will be included in the Order of Final Approval entered by the Court.

MISCELLANEOUS

Acknowledgment. Each of the Parties acknowledges and represents that such Party: (a) has fully and carefully read this Agreement prior to execution; (b) has been fully apprised by counsel of the legal effect and meaning of the terms of this Agreement; (c) has had the opportunity to undertake whatever investigation or inquiry is necessary or appropriate in connection with this Agreement; (d) has been afforded the opportunity to negotiate any and all terms of this Agreement; and (e) is executing this Agreement voluntarily and free from any undue influence, coercion, or duress of any kind.

- 59. Agreement To Cooperate. The Parties and their respective counsel will cooperate with each other in good faith and use their best efforts to effect the implementation of the Agreement. In the event that the Parties are unable to reach agreement on the form or content of any document needed to implement the Agreement, or on any supplemental provisions that may become necessary to effectuate the terms of this Agreement, the Parties may seek the assistance of the Court to resolve such disagreement after meeting and conferring with each other in good faith to attempt to resolve the dispute.
- 60. <u>Authority</u>. Each person executing this Settlement Agreement on behalf of any of the Parties represents that such person has the authority to execute this Agreement.
- 61. <u>Binding Upon Successors and Assigns</u>. This Agreement shall be binding upon, and inure to the benefit of, the successors or assigns of the Released Parties and the Parties, as previously defined.
- 62. <u>Construction</u>. The Parties believe that the terms of this Agreement are a fair, adequate, and reasonable settlement of this Action, and have arrived at this Settlement Agreement in arms-length negotiations and with the assistance of a retired United States Magistrate Judge, taking into account all relevant factors, present and potential. This Agreement has been drafted jointly by counsel for the Parties. Hence, in any construction or interpretation of this Agreement, the same shall not be construed against any of the Parties.
- 63. <u>Counterparts</u>. This Agreement may be executed in one or more counterparts. All executed copies of this Agreement and photocopies thereof (including facsimile and/or emailed copies of the signature pages), shall have the same force and effect and shall be as legally binding and enforceable as the original.
- 64. <u>Defense Fees and Costs</u>. All of each Defendant's own attorneys' fees and legal costs and expenses incurred in the Action (and in the original proceedings initiated by the Named Plaintiffs) shall be borne by each Defendant from each Defendant's separate funds and not from the Gross Settlement Fund.
- 65. <u>Entire Agreement</u>. This Agreement constitutes the entire, fully integrated STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

 25

 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

agreement among the Parties relating to the Settlement. All prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings and statements, whether oral or written, and whether by a party or its counsel, are merged herein. No oral or written representations, warranties or inducements of any kind have been made to any Party concerning this Agreement, other than as set forth herein (including in paragraphs 18, 67.a, and 67.b).

- 66. <u>Limitation of Agreement</u>. This Agreement may not be relied upon for any purpose by, and does not create any rights in, any person(s) or entity other than Named Plaintiffs, the Settlement Class, and the Defendants.
- 67. Representations and Warranties. a. Huawei Device USA, Inc.; LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.; LG Electronics, Inc.; Motorola Mobility LLC; Pantech Wireless, Inc.; Samsung Electronics America, Inc.; and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd make the following representation and warranty with respect to this Settlement, and they acknowledge that the Named Plaintiffs, on their own behalf and on behalf of the Settlement, have relied on this representation and warranty in entering into this Agreement:

As to the Mobile Devices identified in the Manufacturer Defendants' responses to Plaintiffs' First Set of Pre-ADR Interrogatories, the Manufacturer Defendants (other than the HTC defendants) have uncovered no evidence: (1) that any such devices shipped to consumers with activated "debug code" in the Carrier IQ interface; or (2) that any consumer SMS text messages or Internet search terms or queries were transmitted from the device logs of such devices either to the OEMs or to any unauthorized third party as a result of activated "debug code" in the Carrier IQ interface on those Mobile Devices;

and

- b. Carrier iQ represents and warrants that prior to the Asset Sale, Carrier iQ made the modifications to the Carrier iQ Software set forth in paragraphs 19-20 and 22 herein.
- 68. <u>Governing Law.</u> This Agreement shall be governed by the laws of the State of California.
- 69. <u>Headings and Captions</u>. The headings and captions in this Agreement are for convenience only and in no way define, limit, or otherwise describe the scope or intent of this Agreement, or any term of this Agreement. Each term of this Agreement is contractual and is not STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

merely a recital.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 70. <u>No Oral Modifications</u>. This Agreement may be amended or modified only by a written instrument signed by counsel for all Parties or their successors-in-interest. No rights hereunder may be waived except in writing. No oral amendment or modification shall be permitted or effective.
- 71. Publicity. The Parties, Class Counsel, and counsel for any Defendant shall not make any public statements about the Parties' settlement except: (a) as reasonably necessary to fulfill the obligation to provide Class Notice; (b) to refer to the fact that the case settled; (c) to discuss the terms of the settlement in papers filed or discussions with the Court in this Action; (d) to advocate for preliminary and final approval of the settlement in this Action; and (e) Class Counsel may include their appointment as co-lead class counsel in their resumes, websites, or filings with other courts to establish that they worked on the Action and that it was settled. (Specifically excluded from the public statements encompassed by this provision are communications between Class Counsel and any Settlement Class member and communications with objectors, or persons who have or are threatening to opt out of the Settlement Class, wherein Class Counsel discusses the benefits of participating in the settlement.) Further, Class Counsel may post on their websites an announcement of the fact that the Parties have settled and may include a link to the settlement website established by the Settlement Administrator. In response to any unsolicited inquiries from the media, the Parties and their counsel may refer to the fact that the case settled and may refer the media to the settlement website to be established by the Settlement Administrator and/or to an agreed-upon joint press release regarding the settlement to be disseminated to the media as part of the notice program set forth in Exhibit B to this Agreement.
- 72. <u>Non-Disparagement</u>. The Parties and their counsel agree not to make any public statements, written or verbal, or cause or encourage others to make any statements, written or verbal, that defame, disparage, or in any way criticize the personal or business reputation, practices, or conduct of each other, their employees, directors, and officers relating to the claims

RELEASE

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Rosemarie T. Ring MUNGER, TOLLES & OLSON, LLP 560 Mission Street Twenty-Seventh Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2907 Telephone: (415) 512-4000 Facsimile: (415) 512-4077 Rose.Ring@mto.com If to Huawei Device USA or its counsel: Simon J. Frankel COVINGTON & BURLING LLP
8	1 Front St., 35th Floor
9	San Francisco, CA 94111
	Telephone: (415) 591-6000 Facsimile: (415) 591-6091
10	sfrankel@cov.com
11	If to the LG defendants or their counsel:
12	Jeff E. Scott
13	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900
14	Los Angeles, CA 90067-2121
15	Telephone: 310-586-7700 Facsimile: 310-586-7800
16	ScottJ@gtlaw.com
17	Ian C. Ballon
18	GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 1900 University Ave., 5 th Floor
19	East Palo Alto, CA 94303 Telephone: (650) 328-8500
20	Facsimile: (650) 328-8508
21	Ballon@gtlaw.com If to Motorolo Mobility or its counsely
22	If to Motorola Mobility or its counsel:
23	Krista M. Enns WINSTON & STRAWN LLP
	101 California Street
24	San Francisco, CA 94111-5894 Telephone: (415) 591-1000
25	Facsimile: (415) 591-1400
26	kenns@winston.com
27	If to Pantech Wireless or its counsel:

28 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

27

28

Wilson W. Lin H.C. PARK & ASSOCIATES, PLC 1894 Preston White Drive Reston, VA 20191

Telephone: (703) 544-9230 Facsimile: (703) 288-5139 WLin@park-law.com

If to the Samsung defendants or their counsel:

Lance A. Etcheverry SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 687-5000

Facsimile: (213) 687-5600 lance.etcheverry@skadden.com

Any party may change the address to which requests, demands, claims, or other communications hereunder are to be delivered by giving the other Parties notice in the manner herein set forth.

- 75. <u>Time Periods</u>. The time periods and/or dates described in this Agreement with respect to the giving of Notice and the hearings are subject to approval and change by the Court with the approval of the Parties, or by agreement of the Parties.
- 76. Exclusive Remedy and Jurisdiction of the Court. This Agreement shall be the sole and exclusive remedy for any and all Released Claims. Upon entry of the Order of Final Approval and Final Judgment, each Class Member may not initiate, assert, or prosecute any Released Claims against any Released Party. If any Class Member who does not opt-out in accordance with the procedures set forth in this Settlement Agreement attempts to prosecute an action asserting a Released Claim, counsel for any affected Party shall forward this Agreement and the Order of Final Approval and Final Judgment to such Class Member and advise the Class Member of the releases provided under this Settlement Agreement.
- 77. This Court shall retain exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the Consolidated Action and all Parties and Class members to interpret and enforce this Settlement Agreement.
- 78. No provision in this Agreement shall preclude any action to enforce the terms of STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

 30

 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

this Agreement.

79. No Admission of Wrongdoing. Each Defendant denies that it violated the law with respect to the claims asserted against it in the Action, or otherwise, and maintains that it has meritorious defenses to each of those claims. Nonetheless, each Defendant believes that further litigation would be protracted, burdensome, expensive, and distracting from its business. Thus, each Defendant has concluded that it is desirable and beneficial to settle the Action on the terms and conditions set forth in this Settlement.

READ AND AGREED TO:

KEND MIN	KLAD AND AGREED TO.				
DATED:	2-24 , 2016	Patrick Kenny			
DATED:	, 2016	Daniel Pipkin			
DATED:	, 2016	Jennifer Patrick			
DATED:	, 2016	Dao Phong			
DATED:	, 2016	Ryan McKeen			
DATED:	, 2016	Leron Levy			
DATED:	, 2016	Luke Szulczewski			
DATED:	, 2016	Michael Allan			
DATED:	, 2016	Gary Cribbs			

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE

this Agreement.

1

No Admission of Wrongdoing. Each Defendant denies that it violated the law 79. with respect to the claims asserted against it in the Action, or otherwise, and maintains that it has meritorious defenses to each of those claims. Nonetheless, each Defendant believes that further litigation would be protracted, burdensome, expensive, and distracting from its business. Thus, each Defendant has concluded that it is desirable and beneficial to settle the Action on the terms and conditions set forth in this Settlement.

READ AND AGREED TO:

STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND

RELEASE

9	DATED:	, 2016	Patrick Kenny
10			1 actick Reinity
11	DATED:	2/18, 2016	DATE
12			Daniel Pipkin
13	DATED:	, 2016	
14	DATED:	, 2016	Jennifer Patrick
15			
16	DATED:	, 2016	Dao Phong
- 1			Duo i nong
17	DATED:	, 2016	
18			Ryan McKeen
19	DATED:	, 2016	
20			Leron Levy
21	DATED	2017	
22	DATED:	, 2016	Luke Szulczewski
23			
24	DATED:	, 2016	Michael Allan
- 1			WHEHACI AHAH
25	DATED:	, 2016	
26	Dillib.	, 2010	Gary Cribbs
27			

31

Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

this Agreement. 1 79. No Admission of Wrongdoing. Each Defendant denies that it violated the law 2 with respect to the claims asserted against it in the Action, or otherwise, and maintains that it has 3 meritorious defenses to each of those claims. Nonetheless, each Defendant believes that further 4 litigation would be protracted, burdensome, expensive, and distracting from its business. Thus, 5 each Defendant has concluded that it is desirable and beneficial to settle the Action on the terms 6 and conditions set forth in this Settlement. 7 8 **READ AND AGREED TO:** 9 DATED: Patrick Kenny 10 11 DATED: Daniel Pipkin 12 13 DATED: 2/27 , 2016 Jennifer Patrick 14 15 DATED: ______, 2016 Dao Phong 16 17 DATED: _____ , 2016 Ryan McKeen 18 19 DATED: 2016 **Leron Levy** 20 21 DATED: . 2016 Luke Szulczewski 22 23 DATED: , 2016 Michael Allan 24 25 DATED: , 2016 26 Gary Cribbs 27

1 this Agreement. No Admission of Wrongdoing. Each Defendant denies that it violated the law 2 79. with respect to the claims asserted against it in the Action, or otherwise, and maintains that it has 3 meritorious defenses to each of those claims. Nonetheless, each Defendant believes that further 4 5 litigation would be protracted, burdensome, expensive, and distracting from its business. Thus, each Defendant has concluded that it is desirable and beneficial to settle the Action on the terms 6 and conditions set forth in this Settlement. 7 8 READ AND AGREED TO: 9 DATED: , 2016 Patrick Kenny 10 11 DATED: , 2016 Daniel Pipkin 12 13 DATED: . 2016 Jennifer Patrick 14 15 February 17, 2016 DATED: Dao Phong 16 17 DATED: , 2016 Ryan McKeen 18 19 DATED: , 2016 Leron Levy 20 21 DATED: , 2016 Luke Szulczewski 22 23 DATED: , 2016 24 Michael Allan 25 , 2016 DATED: 26 Gary Cribbs 27 28 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC 31 RELEASE

1 this Agreement. 79. No Admission of Wrongdoing. Each Defendant denies that it violated the law 2 with respect to the claims asserted against it in the Action, or otherwise, and maintains that it has 3 meritorious defenses to each of those claims. Nonetheless, each Defendant believes that further 4 litigation would be protracted, burdensome, expensive, and distracting from its business. Thus, 5 each Defendant has concluded that it is desirable and beneficial to settle the Action on the terms 6 7 and conditions set forth in this Settlement. 8 **READ AND AGREED TO:** 9 DATED: Patrick Kenny 10 11 DATED: _____ , 2016 Daniel Pipkin 12 13 DATED: , 2016 Jennifer Patrick 14 15 DATED: , 2016 Dao Phong 16 2.19 17 DATED: 2016 18 19 DATED: 2016 **Leron Levy** 20 21 DATED: . 2016 Luke Szulczewski 22 23 DATED: , 2016 Michael Allan 24 25 DATED: , 2016 26 Gary Cribbs 27 28 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND

31

RELEASE

Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

this Agreement. 1 79. No Admission of Wrongdoing. Each Defendant denies that it violated the law 2 with respect to the claims asserted against it in the Action, or otherwise, and maintains that it has 3 meritorious defenses to each of those claims. Nonetheless, each Defendant believes that further 4 litigation would be protracted, burdensome, expensive, and distracting from its business. Thus, 5 each Defendant has concluded that it is desirable and beneficial to settle the Action on the terms 6 and conditions set forth in this Settlement. 7 8 **READ AND AGREED TO:** 9 DATED: Patrick Kenny 10 11 ______ , 2016 DATED: Daniel Pipkin 12 13 DATED: , 2016 Jennifer Patrick 14 15 DATED: 2016 Dao Phong 16 17 DATED: , 2016 Ryan McKeen 18 19 02/18 DATED: 2016 20 21 DATED: . 2016 Luke Szulczewski 22 23 DATED: , 2016 Michael Allan 24 25 DATED: , 2016 26 Gary Cribbs 27

1	this Agreemen	t.	
2	79.	No Admission of Wrongdoin	g. Each Defendant denies that it violated the law
3	with respect to	the claims asserted against it	in the Action, or otherwise, and maintains that it has
4	meritorious de	fenses to each of those claims	s. Nonetheless, each Defendant believes that further
5	litigation woul	d be protracted, burdensome,	expensive, and distracting from its business. Thus,
6	each Defendan	at has concluded that it is desir	rable and beneficial to settle the Action on the terms
7	and conditions	set forth in this Settlement.	
8	READ AND A	AGREED TO:	
9	DATED:		
10			Patrick Kenny
11	DATED:	, 2016	
12			Daniel Pipkin
13	DATED:	, 2016	
14			Jennifer Patrick
15	DATED:	, 2016	
16			Dao Phong
17	DATED:	, 2016	
18			Ryan McKeen
19	DATED:	, 2016	_
20			Leron Levy
21	DATED:	Feb 19, 2016	LAD.
22			Luke Szulczewski
23	DATED:	, 2016	
24			Michael Allan
25	DATED.	2016	
26	DATED:	, 2016	Gary Cribbs
27			
28	STIPULATION (RELEASE	OF SETTLEMENT AND	31 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

1	this Agreement.						
2	79. No Admission of Wrongdoing.	Each Defendant denies that it violated the law					
3	with respect to the claims asserted against it in the Action, or otherwise, and maintains that it has						
4	meritorious defenses to each of those claims. Nonetheless, each Defendant believes that further						
5	litigation would be protracted, burdensome, ex	spensive, and distracting from its business. Thus,					
6	each Defendant has concluded that it is desirab	ole and beneficial to settle the Action on the terms					
7	and conditions set forth in this Settlement.						
8	READ AND AGREED TO:						
9	DATED:, 2016	Patrick Kenny					
1 2	DATED:, 2016	Daniel Pipkin					
13	DATED:, 2016	Jennifer Patrick					
15	DATED:, 2016	Dao Phong					
17	DATED:, 2016	Ryan McKeen					
20	DATED:, 2016	Leron Levy					
21	DATED:, 2016	Luke Szluczewski					
23	DATED: 2 (8 2016	Michael Allan					
25 26 27	DATED:, 2016	Gary Cribbs					
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND	Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC					

1	this Agreeme	nt.				
2	79.	No Admission of	Wrongdoing. H	Each Defendant deni	es that it violated the law	
3	with respect to the claims asserted against it in the Action, or otherwise, and maintains that it has					
4	meritorious d	efenses to each of	those claims. No	netheless, each Defe	endant believes that further	
5	litigation wou	ıld be protracted, b	urdensome, expe	nsive, and distracting	g from its business. Thus	
6	each Defenda	nt has concluded th	nat it is desirable	and beneficial to set	tle the Action on the terms	
7	and condition	s set forth in this Se	ettlement.			
8	READ AND	AGREED TO:				
9	DATED:		2016			
10		Name of the Control o		Patrick Kenny	-	
11	DATED:		2016			
12				Daniel Pipkin		
13	DATED:		2016			
14				Jennifer Patrick		
15	DATED:		2016	D 71		
16				Dao Phong		
17	DATED:		2016	Ryan McKeen		
18				Kyan Wickeen		
19	DATED:		2016	Leron Levy		
20				Lefon Levy		
21	DATED:		2016	Luke Szulczewski		
22				Luke Szuiczewski		
24	DATED:		2016	Michael Allan	and the state of t	
Щ				Michael Allan		
25	DATED:	2/22.	2016	And CZ	<u>, </u>	
26		•		Gary Cribbs		
27	OTIDITATION		ND.			
28	STIPULATION RELEASE	OF SETTLEMENT AN	3	1	Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC	

	Case 3:12-md-02330-EMC	Document 419	Filed 02/29/16 Page 44 of 73
1	DATED: Feb. 29	<u>1</u> , 2016	Shawn Grisham by Shawn Grisham stell pursuant to power of attorne
2	DATED	navan s	power of attorne
3	DATED:	_, 2016	Bobby Cline
4			*
5	DATED:	_, 2016	
6			Mark Laning
7	DATED:	, 2016	
8			Clarissa Portales
9	DATED:	, 2016	
10	DATED.	_, 2010	Douglas White
11			
12	DATED:	_, 2016	Eric Thomas
13			
14	DATED:	_, 2016	D : 6
15			Brian Sandstrom
16	DATED:	_, 2016	
17			Colleen Fischer
18	DATED:	2016	
19	DATED.	_, 2016	Carrier iQ, Inc.
20			BY:
21			ITS:
22	DATED	2016	
23	DATED:	_, 2016	HTC America, Inc.
24			BY:
25			ITS:
26	DATED		
27	DATED:	_, 2016	HTC Corporation
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT A RELEASE	AND 3	G N G 12 1 2222 EVG

DA	TED:	, 2016	Shawn Grisham
DA	TED:	2-19, 2016	Bobby Ckne
DA	TED:	, 2016	Mark Laning
DA	TED:	, 2016	Clarissa Portales
DA	TED:	, 2016	Douglas White
	TED:	, 2016	Eric Thomas
DA	ATED:	, 2016	Brian Sandstrom
	ATED:	, 2016	Colleen Fischer
1300	ATED:	, 2016	Carrier iQ, Inc.
D/	ATED:	, 2016	HTC America, Inc.
			BY:
D/	ATED:	, 2016	HTC Corporation
ST RE	IPULATION LEASE	OF SETTLEMENT AND	32 Case No. C-12-md-2330

	F ==		
1	DATED:	, 2016	Shawn Grisham
3	DATED:	, 2016	Bobby Cline
5	DATED:	02/22, 2016	Mark Laning
7	DATED:	, 2016	Clarissa Portales
9	DATED:	, 2016	Douglas White
11 12	DATED:	, 2016	Eric Thomas
13 14 15	DATED:	, 2016	Brian Sandstrom
16 17	DATED:	, 2016	Colleen Fischer
18 19	DATED:	, 2016	Carrier iQ, Inc.
20 21			BY:
22 23 24	DATED:	, 2016	HTC America, Inc. BY:
25			ITS:
27	DATED:	, 2016	HTC Corporation
28	STIPULATIO RELEASE	N OF SETTLEMENT AND	32 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

1	DATED:	, 2016	Shawn Grisham
3	DATED:	, 2016	Bobby Cline
5	DATED:	, 2016	Mark Laning Hornander
7 8	DATED:	Feb 23/0, 2016	Mark Laning Hernandez Clarissa Portales Clarissa Portales Clarissa Portales
9 10	DATED:	, 2016	Douglas White
11 12	DATED:	, 2016	Eric Thomas
13 14 15	DATED:	, 2016	Brian Sandstrom
16 17	DATED:	, 2016	Colleen Fischer
18 19	DATED:	, 2016	Carrier iQ, Inc.
20	-		BY:
22 23 24	DATED:	, 2016	HTC America, Inc. BY:
25 26	DATED:		ITS:
27 28	STIPULATION RELEASE	N OF SETTLEMENT AND	HTC Corporation Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

_____. 2016 DATED: 1 Shawn Grisham . 2 _____. 2016 DATED: . 3 Bobby Cline 4 5 DATED: Mark Laning 6 7 DATED: . 2016 Clarissa Portales 8 9 DATED: 10 Douglas White 11 DATED: 2016 12 Eric Thomas 13 DATED: , 2016 14 Brian Sandstrom 15 16 DATED: . 2016 Colleen Fischer 17 18 DATED: ______. 2016 Carrier iQ, Inc. 19 BY: . 20 ITS: 21 22 DATED: 23 HTC America, Inc. BY: 24 25 ITS: 26 DATED: HTC Corporation 27 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND 28 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC RELEASE 32

	Case 3:12-mo	d-02330-EMC	Document 419	Filed 02/29/16 Page 49 of 73
1	DATED:		_, 2016	Shawn Grisham
2 3 4	DATED:		_, 2016	Bobby Cline
5	DATED:		_, 2016	Mark Laning
7 3	DATED:		_, 2016	Clarissa Portales
	DATED:		_, 2016	Douglas White
2	DATED:	Banay 10	2016	Eric Thomas
3 1 5	DATED:		_, 2016	Brian Sandstrom
7	DATED:		_, 2016	Colleen Fischer
	DATED:		_, 2016	Carrier iQ, Inc. BY:
	DATED:		_, 2016	ITS: HTC America, Inc. BY: ITS:
	DATED:		_, 2016	HTC Corporation
	STIPULATION O RELEASE	F SETTLEMENT	AND 3	Case No. C-12-md-23

DATED: , 2016 1 Shawn Grisham 2 DATED: ______, 2016 3 Bobby Cline 4 ______, 2016 5 DATED: Mark Laning 6 7 DATED: , 2016 Clarissa Portales 8 9 DATED: , 2016 Douglas White 10 11 DATED: _______, 2016 12 **Eric Thomas** 13 DATED: 14 Brian Sandstrom 15 16 , 2016 DATED: Colleen Fischer 17 18 DATED: , 2016 Carrier iQ, Inc. 19 BY: _____ 20 ITS: 21 22 DATED: , 2016 23 HTC America, Inc. BY: _____ 24 25 ITS: 26 , 2016 DATED: HTC Corporation 27 28 STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC RELEASE 32

	DATED:			
1 2				Shawn Grisham
3	DATED:		, 2016	
4				Bobby Cline
5	DATED:		, 2016	
6				Mark Laning
7	DATED:		, 2016	
8				Clarissa Portales
9	DATED:		, 2016	
10				Douglas White
11	DATED:		, 2016	
12				Eric Thomas
13 14	DATED:		, 2016	
15	DITTED.			Brian Sandstrom
16	DATED:	Feb 24	, 2016	Collan Sischer
17	DITTED.	100 W 1		Colleen Fischer
18	DATED:		2016	
19	DATED.	_		Carrier iQ, Inc.
20				BY:
21				ITS:
22	DATED:		, 2016	
23				HTC America, Inc.
24				BY:
25 26				ITS:
27	DATED:	-	, 2016	HTC Corporation
28	STIPULATIO	N OF SETTLEMEN	ΓAND	Case No. C-12-md-2330-1

ì	Case 3:12-md-02330-EMC	Document 419	Filed 02/29/16 Page 52 of 73
	DATED:	_, 2016	127
1			Shawn Grisham
2	DATED.	2016	
3	DATED:	_, 2010	Bobby Cline
4			
5	DATED:	_, 2016	Mark Laning
6			
7	DATED:	_, 2016	Clarissa Portales
8			Clarissa i Ortales
9	DATED:	_, 2016	
10			Douglas White
11	DATED:	. 2016	
12			Eric Thomas
13		2016	
14	DATED:	_, 2016	Brian Sandstrom
15			
16	DATED:	_, 2016	Colleen Fischer
17			The All
18	DATED: Feb 25	_, 2016	Carrier 1Q, Inc.
19			BY: Magnolic M. Moblen
20			ITS: General Coursel ()
21			Steries and Contraction
22	DATED:	_, 2016	HTC America, Inc.
23			
24			BY:
25			115.
26 27	DATED:	_, 2016	HTC Corporation
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT	AND	:
20	RELEASE	12	32 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

				. 4
	-			
1	DATED:		, 2016	Shawn Grisham
2				
3	DATED:		, 2016	Bobby Cline
4				Boody Cline
5	DATED:		, 2016	W. L. Y.
6				Mark Laning
7	DATED:		, 2016	
8				Clarissa Portales
9	DATED:		, 2016	
10				Douglas White
11	DATED:		, 2016	
12	DATES.		, 2010	Eric Thomas
13	DATED		2016	
14	DATED:		, 2016	Brian Sandstrom
15				1
	DATED:		, 2016	Colleen Fischer
	DATED:	-	, 2016	Carrier iQ, Inc.
				BY:
21				ITS:
22	-			Ma Dator
23	DATED:	reb. 24	, 2016	HTC America, Inc
24				BY: Marcus Woo
25				ITS: Director
26	DATED	RI OI	2017	Why Delan
27	DATED:	reb. LY	, 2016	HTC Corporation
28	STIPULATION RELEASE	ON OF SETTLEMENT A	ND	32 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27	DATED: DATED: DATED: STIPULATIO	Feb. 24	, 2016 , 2016 , 2016	Carrier iQ, Inc. BY: ITS: HTC America, Inc. BY: Droctor HTC Corporation Care No. C. 12 and 2220 I

		BY: Mayous WDD
1		BY: Mayous Woo ITS: VP/General Counse
2		110. VI General Calanse
3	DATED:, 2016	Huawei Device USA, Inc.
4		
5		BY:
6		ITS:
7	DATED:, 2016	<u> </u>
8		LG Electronics, Inc.
9		BY:
10		ITS:
11	DATED: , 2016	
12		LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.
13		BY:
14		ITS:
15	DATED:, 2016	
16		Motorola Mobility LLC
17		BY:
18		ITS:
19	DATED: , 2016	
20	DATED:, 2016	Pantech Wireless, Inc.
21		BY:
		ITS:
22		
23	DATED:, 2016	
24		Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
25		BY:
26		ITS:
27		
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE	Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

		DV
		BY:
		ITS:
DATED:	February 23 , 2016	Huawei Device USA, Inc.
		BY: Zhiqiang Xu
		ITS: President
		110. Hesident
DATED:	, 2016	LG Electronics, Inc.
		BY:
		ITS:
DATED:	, 2016	LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.
		BY:
		ITS:
DATED:	, 2016	March Married II C
		Motorola Mobility LLC
		BY:
		ITS:
DATED:	, 2016	
		Pantech Wireless, Inc.
		BY:
		ITS:
DATED:	, 2016	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
		BY:
		ITS:
STIPULATION RELEASE	OF SETTLEMENT AND	33 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC
	DATED: DATED: DATED: STIPULATION	DATED:

			BY:
1			ITS:
2			
3	DATED:	, 2016	Huawei Device USA, Inc.
4			
5			BY:
6		-1 -	ITS:
7	DATED:	Feb 18, 2016	
8			LG Electronics, Inc.
9			BY: <u>Nove Line</u> ITS: Litigation Tean Need
10			ITS: Utigation lear Need
11	DATED:	, 2016	
12			LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.
13			BY:
14			ITS:
15	DATED:	, 2016	
16	DATED.		Motorola Mobility LLC
17			BY:
18			ITS:

19	DATED:	, 2016	Pantech Wireless, Inc.
20			BY:
21			ITS:
22			-
23	DATED:	, 2016	
24	2111111		Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
25			BY:
26			ITS:
27			
28	STIPULATION (RELEASE	OF SETTLEMENT AND	33 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

Î			
			DV.
1			BY:
2			ITS:
3	DATED:	, 2016	
4			Huawei Device USA, Inc.
5			BY:
6			ITS:
7	DATED:	, 2016	
8			LG Electronics, Inc.
9	E H		BY:
10			ITS:
11	DATED:	February 18 , 2016	LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.
12		2	
13			BY: SANG MAN KO
14			ITS: CFO
15	DATED:	, 2016	
16	DITIED.	, 2010	Motorola Mobility LLC
17			BY:
18			ITS:
19	DATED:	2016	
20	DATED:	, 2016	Pantech Wireless, Inc.
21			BY:
			ITS:
22			
23 24	DATED:	, 2016	п
			Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
25			BY:
26			ITS:
27	N. A. Carlotte and		
28	STIPULATION RELEASE	OF SETTLEMENT AND	33 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

			BY:
1			ITS:
2			
3	DATED:	, 2016	Huawei Device USA, Inc.
4			BY:
5			ITS:
6			
7	DATED:	, 2016	LG Electronics, Inc.
8			BY:
9			ITS:
10			
11	DATED:	, 2016	LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.
2			BY:
13			ITS:
14		m - 1	5/1/h
15	DATED:	F88. 19, 2016	Motorola Mobility LLC
16	E.		BY: THOMAS V. MILLER
17			ITS: VICE PRESIDENT, IP
18			,
19	DATED:	, 2016	Pantech Wireless, Inc.
20			BY:
21			ITS:
22			
23	DATED.	, 2016	
24	DIXIDD.	, 2010	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
25			BY:
26			ITS:
27			
28	STIPULATION RELEASE	OF SETTLEMENT AND	33 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

1			BY:
2			ITS:
3	DATED:	, 2016	
4			Huawei Device USA, Inc.
5			BY:
6			ITS:
7	DATED:	, 2016	
8	DITTED.		LG Electronics, Inc.
9			BY:
10			ITS:
11	DATED:	, 2016	
12	DATED.	, 2010	LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.
13			BY:
14			ITS:
15	DATED.	2016	3
16	DATED:	, 2016	Motorola Mobility LLC
17			BY:
18			ITS:
19	D. I. WELD	Teb. 25, 2016	Y along Kong
	DATED:	мер. С у , 2016	Pantech Wireless, Inc.
20			BY:
21	400		ITS:
22			
23	DATED:	, 2016	
24			Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
25			BY:
26			ITS:
27	SIE DESCRIPTION TO SERVICE STATES		
28	STIPULATION RELEASE	OF SETTLEMENT AND	33 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

	V-	
		BY:
1		ITS:
2		
3	DATED:, 2016	Huawei Device USA, Inc.
4		BY:
5		ITS:
6		
7	DATED:, 2016	LG Electronics, Inc.
8	20	BY:
9		ITS:
10		
11	DATED:, 2016	LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.
12	į.	BY:
13		ITS:
14		
15	DATED:, 2016	Motorola Mobility LLC
16		BY:
17		ITS:
18		
19	DATED:, 2016	Pantech Wireless, Inc.
20		BY:
21		ITS:
22		713.
23	DATED: 2/24 , 2016	73/6
24	DATED: 2/24, 2016	Samsung Electronics America, Inc.
25	10	BY: MH LYU
26		ITS: CFO
27		3.0
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE	33 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

1	DATED: FEB. 24, 2016 Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
2	BY: JAE WAN CHI
3	ITS: EVP
4	
5	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:
6	Dated: February, 2016 By:
7	Steve W. Berman Robert F. Lopez
8	HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
9	1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101
10	Telephone: (206) 623-7292
11	Facsimile: (206) 623-0594 steve@hbsslaw.com
	robl@hbsslaw.com
12	By: Daniel L. Warshaw
13	Daniel L. Warshaw PEARSON SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP
14	15165 Ventura Blvd., Suite 400
15	Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Telephone: (818) 788-8300
16	Facsimile: (818) 788-8104 dwarshaw@pswlaw.com
17	
18	Bruce L. Simon Robert G. Retana
19	PEARSON SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP
20	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450 San Francisco, CA 94104
21	Telephone: (415) 433-9000 Facsimile: (415) 433-9008
22	bsimon@pswlaw.com
	rretana@pswlaw.com
23	Plaintiffs' Interim Co-Lead Counsel
24	By:
25	Rodger R. Cole
26	FENWICK & WEST LLP
27	801 California Street Mountain View, CA 94041
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE 34 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

1	DATED:, 2016	Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
2		© Victor that the transfer of the control of the c
	ž.	BY:
3		ITS:
4		
5	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CO	ONTENT:
6	Data de Balances 20 2016	By: rowy F. Logy
	Dated: February 29, 2016	By: WWY Fr W
7		Robert F. Lopez
8		HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
9	+	1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 3300 Seattle, WA 98101
		Telephone: (206) 623-7292
10	* E	Facsimile: (206) 623-0594
11		steve@hbsslaw.com
		robl@hbsslaw.com
12		By: Same (land man)
13		Paniel L. Warshaw
14		PEARSON SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP
		15165 Ventura Blvd., Suite 400
15	*	Sherman Oaks, CA 91403 Telephone: (818) 788-8300
16		Facsimile: (818) 788-8104
.,		dwarshaw@pswlaw.com
17		D
18		Bruce L, Simon Robert G. Retana
19		PEARSON SIMON & WARSHAW, LLP
		44 Montgomery Street, Suite 2450
20	*	San Francisco, CA 94104
21		Telephone: (415) 433-9000
		Facsimile: (415) 433-9008 bsimon@pswlaw.com
22		rretana@pswlaw.com
23		
24		Plaintiffs' Interim Co-Lead Counsel
		By: /4/28 21/
25		Rodger R. Cole
26		rcole@fenwick.com
		FENWICK & WEST LLP 801 California Street
27		Mountain View, CA 94041
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND	Cons No. C 12 and 2220 FA
	RELEASE	34 Case No. C-12-mg-2530-BN

1		Ph: (650) 988-85 Fax: (650) 938-5	
2		Tyler G. Newby	
3		tnewby@fenwic	
4		FENWICK & W	
		555 California S San Francisco, C	
5		Telephone: (415)	875-2300
6		Facsimile: (415)	281-1350
7		Attorneys for De	fendant Carrier iQ, Inc.
8		By: Bug	man and a second
9		Rosemarie T. Ri	<u> </u>
7		Rose.Ring@mto	
10		Jonathan H. Blav	
11		Jonathan.Blavin(Bryan H. Hecker	_
1939-1929-1		Bryan.Heckenliv	THE STATE OF THE S
12			LES & OLSON, LLP
13		560 Mission Stre	
50.000		Twenty-Seventh	
14	g.	San Francisco, C Telephone: (415)	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR
15		Facsimile: (415)	1
1.0			
16		Henry Weissman	
17		Henry. Weissmar	LES & OLSON, LLP
18		355 South Grand	
		Thirty-Fifth Floo	
19		Los Angeles, CA	20 cm (20
20		Telephone: (213)	
		Facsimile: (213)	087-3702
21		Attorneys for De	fendants HTC America, Inc., and
22	*	HTC Corporatio	
23		Ву:	
24		Simon J. Franke	
		sfrankel@cov.co COVINGTON &	m z BURLING LLP
25		1 Front St., 35 th	Floor
26		San Francisco, C	
27		Telephone: (415) Facsimile: (415)	Source to come a second and a second as a
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE	35	Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

1		Ph: (650) 988-8: Fax: (650) 938-3	100 M St. 70 May 20
2		Tyler G. Newby	,
3		tnewby@fenwic	k.com
4		FENWICK & W	
		555 California S San Francisco, O	2
5		Telephone: (415	
6		Facsimile: (415)	281-1350
7		Attorneys for De	efendant Carrier iQ, Inc.
8		Ву:	
9		Rosemarie T. Ri	
9		Rose.Ring@mto	
10		Jonathan H. Bla Jonathan.Blavin	(S) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A) (A
11		Bryan H. Hecke	0
333341		Bryan.Heckenli	
12		T.	LLES & OLSON, LLP
13		560 Mission Str	
		Twenty-Seventh San Francisco, O	
14		Telephone: (415	
15		Facsimile: (415)	
16		House Walcons	
		Henry Weissma Henry Weissma	
17		[2] [1] [1] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2] [2	LLES & OLSON, LLP
18		355 South Grand	Products to the Constitution of the Constituti
10		Thirty-Fifth Floo	
19		Los Angeles, CA Telephone: (213	
20		Facsimile: (213)	
21			
22		Attorneys for De HTC Corporation	efendants HTC America, Inc., and on
23		By: Mill	LENIKEC
		Simon J. Franke	1
24		sfrankel@cov.co	
25		COVINGTON & 1 Front St., 35 th	& BURLING LLP
26		San Francisco, C	
		Telephone: (415	
27		Facsimile: (415)	591-6091
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE	35	Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

1				
			Attorneys for Defendant	Huawei Device USA, Inc.
2		By:	16/2	
3			Wilson W. Lin WLin@park-law.com	
4			H.C. Park & Associates,	
5			1894 Preston White Driv Reston, VA 20191	e
			Telephone: (703) 544-92	
6			Facsimile: (703) 288-51.	39
7			Attorneys for Defendant	Pantech Wireless, Inc.
8				
9		By:	T 1 F. 1	7
10			Lance A. Etcheverry lance.etcheverry@skadde	en com
10				TE MEAGHER & FLOM
11			LLP	G : 2400
12			300 South Grand Avenue Los Angeles, California 9	
13			Telephone: (213) 687-50	52.000 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
13			Facsimile: (213) 687-560	00
14			S. Sheryl Leung	
15			sheryl.leung@skadden.co	
16			SKADDEN ARPS SLAT LLP	TE MEAGHER & FLOM
17			525 University Avenue, S	
10			Palo Alto, California 94. Telephone: (650) 470-45	
18			Facsimile: (650) 798-660	
19			144	C FL . :
20			Attorneys for Defendants America, Inc. and Samsu	
21				
		By:		
22		170	Jeff E. Scott ScottJ@gtlaw.com	
23			Lori Chang	
24			ChangL@gtlaw.com Rebekah Guyon	
25			GuyonR@gtlaw.com GREENBERG TRAURI	G, LLP
26			1840 Century Park East,	Suite 1900
27	-		Los Angeles, CA 90067- Telephone: 310-586-7700 Facsimile: 310-586-7800	0
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND		The state of the s	
	RELEASE		36	Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

- 1				
				· ·
1			Attorneys for Defendant H	nawei Device USA, Inc.
2		D		
7		Ву:	Wilson W. Lin	
3			WLin@park-law.com	1.0
4			H.C. Park & Associates, P. 1894 Preston White Drive	LC
5			Reston, VA 20191	
			Telephone: (703) 544-9230 Facsimile: (703) 288-5139	9
6				1
7			Attorneys for Defendant Po	antech Wireless, Inc.
8			1 - se Steleas	1001411
9		Ву:	Lance A. Eicheverry	1
			lance.etcheverry@skadden	.com
10			SKADDEN ARPS SLATE	MEAGHER & FLOM
11			LLP	C.:ta 2400
12			300 South Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90	
1			Telephone: (213) 687-5000	
13			Facsimile: (213) 687-5600	
14			S. Sheryl Leung	
15			sheryl.leung@skadden.com SKADDEN ARPS SLATE	I MUACHED & FLOM
16			LLP	MEAGRER & PLOW
			525 University Avenue, St.	
17			Palo Alto, California 9430	
18			Telephone: (650) 470-4544 Facsimile: (650) 798-6605	
19			ture 5 Describerts 5	iamenna lihatennian
20			Attorneys for Defendants S America, Inc. and Samsung	g Electronics Co., Ltd.
21				
22		Ву:	Jeff E. Scott	
23			Scottl@gtlaw.com Lori Chang	
1			ChangL@gtlaw.com	
24			Rebekah Guyon	
25			GuyonR@gtlaw.com GREENBERG TRAURIG	
26			1840 Century Park East, S Los Angeles, CA 90067-2	121
27			Telephone: 310-586-7700 Facsimile: 310-586-7800	
			racsimile; 510-560-7600	
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE		36	Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

1		Attorneys for Defendant Huawei Device USA, Inc.
2		By:
3		Wilson W. Lin
4		WLin@park-law.com H.C. Park & Associates, PLC
5		1894 Preston White Drive Reston, VA 20191
6		Telephone: (703) 544-9230 Facsimile: (703) 288-5139
7		Attorneys for Defendant Pantech Wireless, Inc.
8		
9		By:
10		Lance A. Etcheverry lance.etcheverry@skadden.com
11		SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM LLP
		300 South Grand Avenue, Suite 3400
12		Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 687-5000
13		Facsimile: (213) 687-5600
14		S. Sheryl Leung
15		sheryl.leung@skadden.com SKADDEN ARPS SLATE MEAGHER & FLOM
16		LLP
17		525 University Avenue, Suite 1400 Palo Alto, California 94301
18		Telephone: (650) 470-4544
19		Facsimile: (650) 798-6605
20		Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
21		America, Inc. and Samsung Electronics Co., Etc.
22		By:
23		Jeff E. Scott Scott J@gtlaw.com
		Lori Chang ChangL@gtlaw.com
24		Rebekah Guyon GuyonR@gtlaw.com
25		GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP 1840 Century Park East, Suite 1900
26		Los Angeles, CA 90067-2121 Telephone: 310-586-7700
27		Facsimile: 310-586-7800
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE	36 Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

1		Ian C. Ballon Ballon@gtlaw.c	om
2		GREENBERG 1900 University	FRAURIG, LLP Avenue, 5 th Floor
3 4		East Palo Alto, Carlephone: 650-	328-8500
5		Facsimile: 650-3	
6			fendants LG Electronics, Inc. and MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.
7		By: Christopher J. L	tz M. Enn
8		cletkewicz@wir WINSTON & S	iston.com
9		35 W. Wacker I. Chicago, IL 600	Drive
10		Telephone: (312) Facsimile: (312)) 558-5600
11		Krista M. Enns	CD 668
12		kenns@winston WINSTON & S	TRAWN LLP
13		101 California S San Francisco, (CA 94111-5894
14		Telephone: (415) Facsimile: (415)	591-1400
15	868145.1	Attorneys for De	efendant Motorola Mobility LLC
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	STIPULATION OF SETTLEMENT AND RELEASE	37	Case No. C-12-md-2330-EMC

EXHIBIT A

2330 FINE Tet Drogument 41-9ed Filed 02/29/16 Page 70 Pee Postmarked postmarked by Deadline to:

Carrier IQ Privacy Settlement

P.O. Box XXXX San Rafael, CA XXXXX

No Later Than

Month XX, 2016

Or submit your claim online by Deadline at www.carrieriqsettlement.com.

Claim Form

If you purchased, owned or were an Authorized User of a Covered Mobile Device equipped with Carrier iQ software between December 1, 2007 and Month DD, YYYY, you may be eligible to receive a cash payment. To submit a claim, please complete the requested information below. Please read the Notice available at www.carrieriqsettlement.com. You may also submit a claim online at www.carrieriqsettlement.com.

Contact Inform	nation																							
First Name				10 m 10 m		 	M.I.	1	Last	Nam	ie								4					
Street Address																							. 4	
																10								
Continuation of S	treet Addı	ress																						
								1										1						
City							1									Stat	e		Zip (Code				
							T		-							Otat		1	_,p					
Frank Address																								
Email Address							_		-					lu i			- 4					- 4		
								4					10											
Area code	Telepho	ne num	ber (h	ome)					Area	a cod	е		Tele	ohone	e nur	nber	(wo	rk)			1			
		-	- 1													_								
Wireless Provide AT& Name of Wireless	T Mobility		The same of the sa	ricket) Spri	int		or		0	T-Mo	obile											
											1													
Device Manufact	urer (plea:	se fill in	only o	ne cir	cle)																			
○ нтс		Huaw		0	-	0	Moto	orol	а	C) Pa	inted	:h	OI	-	() s	ams	ung					
Device Model																								
Mobile Phone Nu	mber of D	evice C	laime	d					-00															
						7																		
		9																						
The information I am releasing a								_			orrec	et to	the	best	of n	ıy k	now	ledg	ge. I	also	ackı	now	edge	that
Signature:													Da	ated:	21									



FOR CLAIMS PROCESSING ONLY		СВ	ODOC OLC OREV	ORED OA OB
----------------------------------	--	----	---------------------	------------------

Release of Claims*

Upon the Effective Date, and in consideration of the benefits and other consideration set forth above, the Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished and discharged against each of the Released Parties and all persons acting through, under, or in concert with each such Released Party, all claims in the Action. Specifically, the Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have released any and all past, present or future claims, causes of actions, suits, petitions, demands in law or equity, or any allegations of liability or damages, debts, contracts, agreements, obligations, promises, attorneys' fees, costs, interest, or expenses that have been, may be, or could be asserted in the Action, any complaint filed against any Defendant in an action that was transferred by order of the United States Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation in In Re: Carrier IQ, Inc., Consumer Privacy Litigation, MDL No. 2330 or that was otherwise consolidated with the Action ("the Pre-Consolidated Actions"), any subsequent amended complaint filed in this or any related case, or in any other action, court, arbitration, tribunal or administrative body, regardless of whether those claims are based on federal, state or local law, statute, ordinance, regulations, contract, common law, or any other source, that are based upon, arise out of, or are related to or connected with, directly or indirectly, in whole or in part, the facts, activities, or circumstances alleged in any complaint filed in the Action during the Class Period.

<u>Waiver of Known and Unknown Claims.</u> The general releases in the Agreement extend to claims the Releasing Parties do not know or suspect to exist in their favor, which, if known by them, would have materially affected their decisions to enter into this Agreement. The Parties, including the Releasing Parties, understand and acknowledge that they are familiar with California Civil Code section 1542, which provides as follows:

A GENERAL RELEASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

Upon the Effective Date, the Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Agreement shall have, expressly waived and relinquished any rights they may have under California Civil Code section 1542 or any other statute or common law principle with a similar effect as to all releases set forth in this Agreement. In connection with such waiver and relinquishment, the Releasing Parties acknowledge that they are aware that, after executing this Agreement, they or their legal counsel or agents may discover Released Claims or facts in addition to, or different from, those which they now know or believe to exist with respect to the subject matter of this Agreement or the Parties hereto, but that it is Plaintiffs' intention hereby to fully, finally, and forever settle and release all of the Released Claims, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, which now exist, may exist, or heretofore may have existed against the Released Parties.

*All capitalized terms shall have the same meaning as in the Stipulation of Settlement and Release, available at www.carrieriqsettlement.com.



EXHIBIT B



IN RE CARRIER IQ, INC., CONSUMER PRIVACY LITIGATION SUGGESTED NOTICE PLAN

Print Publication	Imps / Circ
aid Newspapers	
USA Today	
lagazines	
People	
PAID ONLINE ADVERTISING	
earch Advertising	
Text link Search Ads on Google, Yahoo/Bing	
Mgmt fee	
ioogle Display Network - Managed Placement to Youtube.com	
Banner ad units targeted through Google Display Network's managed placement on www.youtube.com. Gilardi will effort to use targeting related to mobile phone reviews and/or consumer privacy issues related to mobile phones	
anner Advertising	
Display - Mobile Targeting - Mobile Targeting reaching individuals across mobile web and app	5,333,333
National (English) - Run of Network Advertising with Data Overlay Targeting Adult Cell Phone Owners 18+ yrs old	225,000,000
National (Spanish) - Run of Network Advertising with Data Overlay Targeting Adult Cell Phone Owners 18+ yrs old	12,000,000
Facebook Exchange - Targeting individuals 18+ yrs old who show interest in mobile phones and/or consumer privacy	16,000,000
Total Impressions:	258,333,333
Frequency Cap - Unique IP Addr:	2
Total Impressions - Unique IP Addr:	129,166,667
witter Promoted Tweet Campaign	
witter Promoted Tweet Campaign Promoted Tweets delivered over 30 to 60 day period, targeting by mobile phone interest and/or consumer privacy interest (estimated to deliver approx. 3 million impressions)	

SOCIAL MEDIA OUTREACH

Facebook

Develop and maintain case-specific Facebook Page with links to the case website. Staff will respond to inquiries with approved language from the parties or the court approved notice.

Twitte

Identify accounts of influencers and potential class members. Staff will draft and submit tweet language consistent with approved notice and scheduled daily dissemination with unique hashtag for tracking of tweet reach.

SUBTOTAL OUTREACH:

NEWSWIRE

1x Press Release through PR Newswire to USA-1 (US Nationwide PR Distribution List)